	Case 3:12-cv-00485-LRH-WGC	Document 10 Filed 02/20/13 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7 8	1	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
9	MIGUEL ALEJANDRO)
10	MARTINEZ,))
11	Petitioner,) 3:12-cv-00485-LRH-WGC
12	vs.) ORDER
13	JAMES GREG COX, et al.,))
14	Respondents.) /
15	Petitioner Miguel Alejandro Martinez has submitted a petition for writ of habeas corpus, pursuant	
16	to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner appears to challenge the calculation of his sentence (see ECF #8).	
17	However, he indicated on the face of his petition that he has not filed a direct appeal, nor a state	
18	postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Accordingly, on November 30, 2012, the court	
19	issued an order directing petitioner to show cause and file such proof he may have to demonstrate that	
20	he has exhausted available state remedies (ECF #7). The court ordered petitioner to respond within 30	
21	(thirty) days and expressly warned petitioner that if he was unable to demonstrate that he has exhausted	
22	his state remedies, the petition would be dismissed.	
23	More than the allotted time has passed, and petitioner has not responded to the court's order in	
24	any manner. Accordingly, the petition shall be dismissed, without prejudice to petitioner filing a new	
25	petition, for failure to obey the court's order.	
26	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the petition is DISMISSED without prejudice for	
27	failure to obey this court's order.	
28	//	

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**, as jurists of reason would not find the court's dismissal of this improperly commenced action without prejudice to be debatable or incorrect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall send petitioner two copies each of an application form to proceed *in forma pauperis* for incarcerated persons and a noncapital Section 2254 habeas petition form, one copy of the instructions for each form, and a copy of the papers that he submitted in this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall enter final judgment accordingly in favor of respondents and against petitioner, dismissing this action without prejudice.

DATED this 20th day of February, 2013.

Elsihe

LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE